TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 3, 2003 LB 54

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. President and members. appreciate the comments of both Senator Stuthman and Senator Erdman. I would go back to the point, however, that I think the main impetus for this bill at this particular time was...is to the fiscal note, the fact that you can substitute federal money for state money. And I just ... my concern is to make sure that we're doing something here "policywise" that makes good sense. A question comes up now, if you become a licensed childcare or, not childcare, but licensed foster care provider, you are, in fact, paid. I don't think that the pay is great, but there is The approved status, or what would be the licensed payment. status under this bill, would mean that you would be paid. There's no change in the amount you're paid depending upon whether or not you would have agreed to take this training or not. And I have a question, if I might, for...well, for Senator Erdman.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Erdman. Would you respond, Senator Erdman?

SENATOR ERDMAN: Yes.

SENATOR RAIKES: Senator, is there something...and if you don't know particularly about the details of this training, why, I certainly understand that, but in your knowledge about it, is there some particular aspect of this training that would suggest that the training would involve providing foster care for your relatives versus nonrelatives?

SENATOR ERDMAN: Let me see if I understand the question, Senator Raikes. You're saying would part of the training be, instead of saying a general broad...a general background, but a specific saying how to care for your relative? Is that the question?

SENATOR RAIKES: Let me try to restate that a little more clearly for you, Senator. If you're saying that a person...a potential provider is going to provide care for a relative they wouldn't need the training, versus if you are going to care for...provide care for someone who's not a relative you would need the training. I'm trying to understand what about the